

Eigensystem multiscale analysis for Anderson localization in energy intervals I

Abel Klein

University of California, Irvine

joint work with Alexander Elgart

QMath13: Mathematical Results in Quantum Physics

Georgia Tech

October 9, 2016

Basic notation

► H will always denote a discrete Schrödinger operator, that is, an operator $H = -\Delta + V$ on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$, where Δ is the (centered) discrete Laplacian: $(\Delta\varphi)(x) := \sum_{|y-x|=1} \varphi(y)$ for $\varphi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$.

Basic notation

- ▶ H will always denote a discrete Schrödinger operator, that is, an operator $H = -\Delta + V$ on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$, where Δ is the (centered) discrete Laplacian: $(\Delta\varphi)(x) := \sum_{|y-x|=1} \varphi(y)$ for $\varphi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$.
- ▶ If $\Theta \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$, we let H_Θ be the restriction of $\chi_\Theta H \chi_\Theta$ to $\ell^2(\Theta)$.

Basic notation

- ▶ H will always denote a discrete Schrödinger operator, that is, an operator $H = -\Delta + V$ on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$, where Δ is the (centered) discrete Laplacian: $(\Delta\varphi)(x) := \sum_{|y-x|=1} \varphi(y)$ for $\varphi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$.
- ▶ If $\Theta \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$, we let H_Θ be the restriction of $\chi_\Theta H \chi_\Theta$ to $\ell^2(\Theta)$.
- ▶ Given $\Phi \subset \Theta \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$, we consider $\ell^2(\Phi) \subset \ell^2(\Theta)$ by extending functions on Φ to functions on Θ that are identically 0 on $\Theta \setminus \Phi$.

Basic notation

- ▶ H will always denote a discrete Schrödinger operator, that is, an operator $H = -\Delta + V$ on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$, where Δ is the (centered) discrete Laplacian: $(\Delta\varphi)(x) := \sum_{|y-x|=1} \varphi(y)$ for $\varphi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$.
- ▶ If $\Theta \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$, we let H_Θ be the restriction of $\chi_\Theta H \chi_\Theta$ to $\ell^2(\Theta)$.
- ▶ Given $\Phi \subset \Theta \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$, we consider $\ell^2(\Phi) \subset \ell^2(\Theta)$ by extending functions on Φ to functions on Θ that are identically 0 on $\Theta \setminus \Phi$.
- ▶ $\|x\| = \max_{j=1,2,\dots,d} |x_j|$ and $|x| = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^d x_j^2}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Basic notation

- ▶ H will always denote a discrete Schrödinger operator, that is, an operator $H = -\Delta + V$ on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$, where Δ is the (centered) discrete Laplacian: $(\Delta\varphi)(x) := \sum_{|y-x|=1} \varphi(y)$ for $\varphi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$.
- ▶ If $\Theta \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$, we let H_Θ be the restriction of $\chi_\Theta H \chi_\Theta$ to $\ell^2(\Theta)$.
- ▶ Given $\Phi \subset \Theta \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$, we consider $\ell^2(\Phi) \subset \ell^2(\Theta)$ by extending functions on Φ to functions on Θ that are identically 0 on $\Theta \setminus \Phi$.
- ▶ $\|x\| = \max_{j=1,2,\dots,d} |x_j|$ and $|x| = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^d x_j^2}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
- ▶ We consider $\mathbb{Z}^d \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and use boxes in \mathbb{Z}^d centered at points in \mathbb{R}^d :

$$\Lambda_L(x) = \Lambda_L^{\mathbb{R}}(x) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d, \text{ where } x \in \mathbb{R}^d \text{ and } \Lambda_L^{\mathbb{R}}(x) = \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^d; \|y - x\| \leq \frac{L}{2} \right\}.$$

Basic notation

- ▶ H will always denote a discrete Schrödinger operator, that is, an operator $H = -\Delta + V$ on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$, where Δ is the (centered) discrete Laplacian: $(\Delta\varphi)(x) := \sum_{|y-x|=1} \varphi(y)$ for $\varphi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$.
- ▶ If $\Theta \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$, we let H_Θ be the restriction of $\chi_\Theta H \chi_\Theta$ to $\ell^2(\Theta)$.
- ▶ Given $\Phi \subset \Theta \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$, we consider $\ell^2(\Phi) \subset \ell^2(\Theta)$ by extending functions on Φ to functions on Θ that are identically 0 on $\Theta \setminus \Phi$.
- ▶ $\|x\| = \max_{j=1,2,\dots,d} |x_j|$ and $|x| = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^d x_j^2}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
- ▶ We consider $\mathbb{Z}^d \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and use boxes in \mathbb{Z}^d centered at points in \mathbb{R}^d :

$$\Lambda_L(x) = \Lambda_L^{\mathbb{R}}(x) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d, \text{ where } x \in \mathbb{R}^d \text{ and } \Lambda_L^{\mathbb{R}}(x) = \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^d; \|y - x\| \leq \frac{L}{2} \right\}.$$

Note that $(L-2)^d < |\Lambda_L(x)| \leq (L+1)^d$ for $L \geq 2$.

Exponents

We fix $\xi, \zeta, \beta, \tau \in (0, 1)$ and $\gamma > 1$

Exponents

We fix $\xi, \zeta, \beta, \tau \in (0, 1)$ and $\gamma > 1$ such that

$$0 < \xi < \zeta < \beta < \frac{1}{\gamma} < 1 < \gamma < \sqrt{\frac{\zeta}{\xi}} \text{ and } \max \left\{ \gamma\beta, \frac{(\gamma-1)\beta+1}{\gamma} \right\} < \tau < 1,$$

Exponents

We fix $\xi, \zeta, \beta, \tau \in (0, 1)$ and $\gamma > 1$ such that

$$0 < \xi < \zeta < \beta < \frac{1}{\gamma} < 1 < \gamma < \sqrt{\frac{\zeta}{\xi}} \text{ and } \max \left\{ \gamma\beta, \frac{(\gamma-1)\beta+1}{\gamma} \right\} < \tau < 1,$$

$$\text{take } \tilde{\xi} = \frac{\zeta + \beta}{2} \in (\zeta, \beta) \text{ and } \tilde{\tau} = \frac{1 + \tau}{2} \in (\tau, 1).$$

Exponents

We fix $\xi, \zeta, \beta, \tau \in (0, 1)$ and $\gamma > 1$ such that

$$0 < \xi < \zeta < \beta < \frac{1}{\gamma} < 1 < \gamma < \sqrt{\frac{\zeta}{\xi}} \text{ and } \max\left\{\gamma\beta, \frac{(\gamma-1)\beta+1}{\gamma}\right\} < \tau < 1,$$

$$\text{take } \tilde{\xi} = \frac{\zeta + \beta}{2} \in (\zeta, \beta) \text{ and } \tilde{\tau} = \frac{1 + \tau}{2} \in (\tau, 1).$$

In addition we fix $\kappa \in (0, 1)$ and $\kappa' \in [0, 1)$

Exponents

We fix $\xi, \zeta, \beta, \tau \in (0, 1)$ and $\gamma > 1$ such that

$$0 < \xi < \zeta < \beta < \frac{1}{\gamma} < 1 < \gamma < \sqrt{\frac{\zeta}{\xi}} \text{ and } \max\left\{\gamma\beta, \frac{(\gamma-1)\beta+1}{\gamma}\right\} < \tau < 1,$$

take $\tilde{\xi} = \frac{\zeta + \beta}{2} \in (\zeta, \beta)$ and $\tilde{\tau} = \frac{1 + \tau}{2} \in (\tau, 1)$.

In addition we fix $\kappa \in (0, 1)$ and $\kappa' \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\kappa + \kappa' < \tau - \gamma\beta,$$

Exponents

We fix $\xi, \zeta, \beta, \tau \in (0, 1)$ and $\gamma > 1$ such that

$$0 < \xi < \zeta < \beta < \frac{1}{\gamma} < 1 < \gamma < \sqrt{\frac{\zeta}{\xi}} \text{ and } \max \left\{ \gamma\beta, \frac{(\gamma-1)\beta+1}{\gamma} \right\} < \tau < 1,$$

take $\tilde{\zeta} = \frac{\zeta + \beta}{2} \in (\zeta, \beta)$ and $\tilde{\tau} = \frac{1 + \tau}{2} \in (\tau, 1)$.

In addition we fix $\kappa \in (0, 1)$ and $\kappa' \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\kappa + \kappa' < \tau - \gamma\beta,$$

set $\rho = \min \left\{ \kappa, \frac{1-\tau}{2}, \gamma\tau - (\gamma-1)\tilde{\zeta} - 1 \right\} \in [\kappa, 1),$

Exponents

We fix $\xi, \zeta, \beta, \tau \in (0, 1)$ and $\gamma > 1$ such that

$$0 < \xi < \zeta < \beta < \frac{1}{\gamma} < 1 < \gamma < \sqrt{\frac{\zeta}{\xi}} \text{ and } \max \left\{ \gamma\beta, \frac{(\gamma-1)\beta+1}{\gamma} \right\} < \tau < 1,$$

take $\tilde{\zeta} = \frac{\zeta + \beta}{2} \in (\zeta, \beta)$ and $\tilde{\tau} = \frac{1 + \tau}{2} \in (\tau, 1)$.

In addition we fix $\kappa \in (0, 1)$ and $\kappa' \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\kappa + \kappa' < \tau - \gamma\beta,$$

set $\rho = \min \left\{ \kappa, \frac{1-\tau}{2}, \gamma\tau - (\gamma-1)\tilde{\zeta} - 1 \right\} \in [\kappa, 1),$

and pick $\zeta \in (0, 1 - \rho]$.

Eigenpairs and eigensystems

Let $H = -\Delta + V$ on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ and $\Theta \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$.

Eigenpairs and eigensystems

Let $H = -\Delta + V$ on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ and $\Theta \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$.

- We call (φ, λ) an eigenpair for H_Θ if λ is an eigenvalue for H_Θ and φ is a corresponding normalized eigenfunction, that is,

$$H_\Theta \varphi = \lambda \varphi, \quad \text{where } \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \varphi \in \ell^2(\Theta) \text{ with } \|\varphi\| = 1.$$

Eigenpairs and eigensystems

Let $H = -\Delta + V$ on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ and $\Theta \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$.

- We call (φ, λ) an eigenpair for H_Θ if λ is an eigenvalue for H_Θ and φ is a corresponding normalized eigenfunction, that is,

$$H_\Theta \varphi = \lambda \varphi, \quad \text{where } \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \varphi \in \ell^2(\Theta) \text{ with } \|\varphi\| = 1.$$

- A collection $\{(\varphi_j, \lambda_j)\}_{j \in J}$ of eigenpairs for H_Θ will be called an eigensystem for H_Θ if $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in J}$ is an orthonormal basis for $\ell^2(\Theta)$.

Eigenpairs and eigensystems

Let $H = -\Delta + V$ on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ and $\Theta \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$.

- We call (φ, λ) an eigenpair for H_Θ if λ is an eigenvalue for H_Θ and φ is a corresponding normalized eigenfunction, that is,

$$H_\Theta \varphi = \lambda \varphi, \quad \text{where } \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \varphi \in \ell^2(\Theta) \text{ with } \|\varphi\| = 1.$$

- A collection $\{(\varphi_j, \lambda_j)\}_{j \in J}$ of eigenpairs for H_Θ will be called an eigensystem for H_Θ if $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in J}$ is an orthonormal basis for $\ell^2(\Theta)$.
- If Θ is finite and all eigenvalues of H_Θ are simple, we can rewrite an eigensystem as $\{(\varphi_\lambda, \lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \sigma(H_\Theta)}$.

Level spacing boxes and localized eigenfunctions

Definition

Given $L > 0$, a finite set $\Theta \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ will be called L -level spacing for H if all eigenvalues of H_Θ are simple, and

$$|\lambda - \lambda'| \geq e^{-L^\beta} \quad \text{for all } \lambda, \lambda' \in \sigma(H_\Theta), \lambda \neq \lambda'.$$

Level spacing boxes and localized eigenfunctions

Definition

Given $L > 0$, a finite set $\Theta \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ will be called L -level spacing for H if all eigenvalues of H_Θ are simple, and

$$|\lambda - \lambda'| \geq e^{-L^\beta} \quad \text{for all } \lambda, \lambda' \in \sigma(H_\Theta), \lambda \neq \lambda'.$$

A box Λ_L will be called level spacing for H if it is L -level spacing for H .

Level spacing boxes and localized eigenfunctions

Definition

Given $L > 0$, a finite set $\Theta \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ will be called L -level spacing for H if all eigenvalues of H_Θ are simple, and

$$|\lambda - \lambda'| \geq e^{-L^\beta} \quad \text{for all } \lambda, \lambda' \in \sigma(H_\Theta), \lambda \neq \lambda'.$$

A box Λ_L will be called level spacing for H if it is L -level spacing for H .

Definition

Let Λ_L be a box, $x \in \Lambda_L$, and $m \geq 0$. Then $\varphi \in \ell^2(\Lambda_L)$ is said to be (x, m) -localized if $\|\varphi\| = 1$ and

$$|\varphi(y)| \leq e^{-m\|y-x\|} \quad \text{for all } y \in \Lambda_L \quad \text{with} \quad \|y-x\| \geq L^\tau.$$

Localizing boxes in energy intervals

Definition

Let $J = (E - B, E + B) \subset I = (E - A, E + A)$, where $E \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < B \leq A$, and let $m > 0$.

Localizing boxes in energy intervals

Definition

Let $J = (E - B, E + B) \subset I = (E - A, E + A)$, where $E \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < B \leq A$, and let $m > 0$. A box Λ_L will be called (m, J, I) -localizing for H if

Localizing boxes in energy intervals

Definition

Let $J = (E - B, E + B) \subset I = (E - A, E + A)$, where $E \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < B \leq A$, and let $m > 0$. A box Λ_L will be called (m, J, I) -localizing for H if

- 1 Λ_L is level spacing for H .

Localizing boxes in energy intervals

Definition

Let $J = (E - B, E + B) \subset I = (E - A, E + A)$, where $E \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < B \leq A$, and let $m > 0$. A box Λ_L will be called (m, J, I) -localizing for H if

- 1 Λ_L is level spacing for H .
- 2 There exists an (m, J, I) -localized eigensystem for H_{Λ_L} :

Localizing boxes in energy intervals

Definition

Let $J = (E - B, E + B) \subset I = (E - A, E + A)$, where $E \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < B \leq A$, and let $m > 0$. A box Λ_L will be called (m, J, I) -localizing for H if

- 1 Λ_L is level spacing for H .
- 2 There exists an (m, J, I) -localized eigensystem for H_{Λ_L} : an eigensystem $\{(\varphi_v, v)\}_{v \in \sigma(H_{\Lambda_L})}$ for H_{Λ_L} such that for all $v \in \sigma(H_{\Lambda_L})$ the eigenfunction φ_v is $(x_v, m\chi_J(v)h_I(v))$ -localized for some $x_v \in \Lambda_L$, where the modulating function h_I is defined by

$$h_I(t) = h\left(\frac{t-E}{A}\right) \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ where } h(s) = \begin{cases} 1 - s^2 & \text{if } s \in [0, 1) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Localizing boxes in energy intervals

Definition

Let $J = (E - B, E + B) \subset I = (E - A, E + A)$, where $E \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < B \leq A$, and let $m > 0$. A box Λ_L will be called (m, J, I) -localizing for H if

- 1 Λ_L is level spacing for H .
- 2 There exists an (m, J, I) -localized eigensystem for H_{Λ_L} : an eigensystem $\{(\varphi_v, v)\}_{v \in \sigma(H_{\Lambda_L})}$ for H_{Λ_L} such that for all $v \in \sigma(H_{\Lambda_L})$ the eigenfunction φ_v is $(x_v, m\chi_J(v)h_I(v))$ -localized for some $x_v \in \Lambda_L$, where the modulating function h_I is defined by

$$h_I(t) = h\left(\frac{t-E}{A}\right) \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ where } h(s) = \begin{cases} 1 - s^2 & \text{if } s \in [0, 1) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Λ_L is (m, I) -localizing for H if Λ_L is (m, J, I) -localizing for H .

Localizing boxes in energy intervals

Definition

Let $J = (E - B, E + B) \subset I = (E - A, E + A)$, where $E \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < B \leq A$, and let $m > 0$. A box Λ_L will be called (m, J, I) -localizing for H if

- 1 Λ_L is level spacing for H .
- 2 There exists an (m, J, I) -localized eigensystem for H_{Λ_L} : an eigensystem $\{(\varphi_v, v)\}_{v \in \sigma(H_{\Lambda_L})}$ for H_{Λ_L} such that for all $v \in \sigma(H_{\Lambda_L})$ the eigenfunction φ_v is $(x_v, m\chi_J(v)h_I(v))$ -localized for some $x_v \in \Lambda_L$, where the modulating function h_I is defined by

$$h_I(t) = h\left(\frac{t-E}{A}\right) \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ where } h(s) = \begin{cases} 1 - s^2 & \text{if } s \in [0, 1] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Λ_L is (m, I) -localizing for H if Λ_L is (m, J, I) -localizing for H .

Note that $\chi_J(v)h_I(v) > 0 \iff v \in J$.

The Anderson model

The Anderson model is the random Schrödinger operator

$$H_\omega := -\Delta + V_\omega \quad \text{on} \quad \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d),$$

The Anderson model

The Anderson model is the random Schrödinger operator

$$H_\omega := -\Delta + V_\omega \quad \text{on} \quad \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d), \quad \text{where}$$

① Δ is the (centered) discrete Laplacian:

$$(\Delta\varphi)(x) := \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d; |y-x|=1} \varphi(y) \quad \text{for} \quad \varphi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d).$$

The Anderson model

The Anderson model is the random Schrödinger operator

$$H_\omega := -\Delta + V_\omega \quad \text{on} \quad \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d), \quad \text{where}$$

- ① Δ is the (centered) discrete Laplacian:

$$(\Delta\varphi)(x) := \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d; |y-x|=1} \varphi(y) \quad \text{for} \quad \varphi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d).$$

- ② $V_\omega(x) = \omega_x$ for $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, where $\omega = \{\omega_x\}_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ are i.i.d.r.v.'s with a non-degenerate probability distribution μ with bounded support.

The Anderson model

The Anderson model is the random Schrödinger operator

$$H_\omega := -\Delta + V_\omega \quad \text{on} \quad \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d), \quad \text{where}$$

- ① Δ is the (centered) discrete Laplacian:

$$(\Delta\varphi)(x) := \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d; |y-x|=1} \varphi(y) \quad \text{for} \quad \varphi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d).$$

- ② $V_\omega(x) = \omega_x$ for $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, where $\omega = \{\omega_x\}_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ are i.i.d.r.v.'s with a non-degenerate probability distribution μ with bounded support.

We assume μ is Hölder continuous of order $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$:

$$S_\mu(t) \leq Kt^\alpha \quad \text{for all} \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

where K is a constant and $S_\mu(t) := \sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \mu \{[a, a+t]\}$.

The Anderson model

The Anderson model is the random Schrödinger operator

$$H_\omega := -\Delta + V_\omega \quad \text{on} \quad \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d), \quad \text{where}$$

- ① Δ is the (centered) discrete Laplacian:

$$(\Delta\varphi)(x) := \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d; |y-x|=1} \varphi(y) \quad \text{for} \quad \varphi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d).$$

- ② $V_\omega(x) = \omega_x$ for $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, where $\omega = \{\omega_x\}_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ are i.i.d.r.v.'s with a non-degenerate probability distribution μ with bounded support.

We assume μ is Hölder continuous of order $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$:

$$S_\mu(t) \leq Kt^\alpha \quad \text{for all} \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

where K is a constant and $S_\mu(t) := \sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \mu \{[a, a+t]\}$.

Remark: $\sigma(H_\omega) = \Sigma := [-2d, 2d] + \text{supp } \mu$ with probability one.

Probability estimate for level spacing

The eigensystem MSA does not use a Wegner estimate; it uses instead a probability estimate for level spacing sets derived from Minami's estimate.

Probability estimate for level spacing

The eigensystem MSA does not use a Wegner estimate; it uses instead a probability estimate for level spacing sets derived from Minami's estimate.

Lemma (Klein-Molchanov)

Let H_ω be an Anderson model, $\Theta \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$, and $L > 1$. Then,

Probability estimate for level spacing

The eigensystem MSA does not use a Wegner estimate; it uses instead a probability estimate for level spacing sets derived from Minami's estimate.

Lemma (Klein-Molchanov)

Let H_ω be an Anderson model, $\Theta \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$, and $L > 1$. Then,

$$\mathbb{P}\{\Theta \text{ is } L\text{-level spacing for } H_\omega\} \geq 1 - Y_\mu |\Theta|^2 e^{-(2\alpha-1)L^\beta}.$$

Probability estimate for level spacing

The eigensystem MSA does not use a Wegner estimate; it uses instead a probability estimate for level spacing sets derived from Minami's estimate.

Lemma (Klein-Molchanov)

Let H_ω be an Anderson model, $\Theta \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$, and $L > 1$. Then,

$$\mathbb{P}\{\Theta \text{ is } L\text{-level spacing for } H_\omega\} \geq 1 - Y_\mu |\Theta|^2 e^{-(2\alpha-1)L^\beta}.$$

In the special case of a box Λ_L , we have

$$\mathbb{P}\{\Lambda_L \text{ is level spacing for } H_\omega\} \geq 1 - Y_\mu (L+1)^{2d} e^{-(2\alpha-1)L^\beta}.$$

Some notation

Let $I = (E - A, E + A)$ with $E \in \mathbb{R}$ and $A > 0$, and $L > 1$.

Some notation

Let $I = (E - A, E + A)$ with $E \in \mathbb{R}$ and $A > 0$, and $L > 1$. We set

$$I_L = (E - A(1 - L^{-\kappa}), E + A(1 - L^{-\kappa})),$$

$$I^L = (E - A(1 - L^{-\kappa})^{-1}, E + A(1 - L^{-\kappa})^{-1}).$$

Some notation

Let $I = (E - A, E + A)$ with $E \in \mathbb{R}$ and $A > 0$, and $L > 1$. We set

$$I_L = (E - A(1 - L^{-\kappa}), E + A(1 - L^{-\kappa})),$$

$$I^L = (E - A(1 - L^{-\kappa})^{-1}, E + A(1 - L^{-\kappa})^{-1}).$$

Given $m > 0$, $C > 0$, we set

$$A_\infty = A_\infty(A, L) = A \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - L^{-\kappa} \gamma^k),$$

$$I_\infty = I_\infty(A, L) = (E - A_\infty(A, L), E + A_\infty(A, L)),$$

$$m_\infty = m_\infty(m, L, C) = m \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - CL^{-\rho} \gamma^k).$$

Some notation

Let $I = (E - A, E + A)$ with $E \in \mathbb{R}$ and $A > 0$, and $L > 1$. We set

$$I_L = (E - A(1 - L^{-\kappa}), E + A(1 - L^{-\kappa})),$$

$$I^L = (E - A(1 - L^{-\kappa})^{-1}, E + A(1 - L^{-\kappa})^{-1}).$$

Given $m > 0$, $C > 0$, we set

$$A_\infty = A_\infty(A, L) = A \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - L^{-\kappa} \gamma^k),$$

$$I_\infty = I_\infty(A, L) = (E - A_\infty(A, L), E + A_\infty(A, L)),$$

$$m_\infty = m_\infty(m, L, C) = m \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - CL^{-\rho} \gamma^k).$$

Note that

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} A_\infty(A, L) = A \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} m_\infty(m, L, C) = m.$$

Eigensystem multiscale analysis in an energy interval

Theorem

Let H_ω be an Anderson model. Given $m_- > 0$, there exists a finite scale $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(d, m_-)$ and a constant $C_{d, m_-} > 0$ with the following property:

Eigensystem multiscale analysis in an energy interval

Theorem

Let H_ω be an Anderson model. Given $m_- > 0$, there exists a finite scale $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(d, m_-)$ and a constant $C_{d, m_-} > 0$ with the following property:
 Suppose for some scale $L_0 \geq \mathcal{L}$ we have

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{P} \{ \Lambda_{L_0}(x) \text{ is } (m_0, l_0)\text{-localizing for } H_\omega \} \geq 1 - e^{-L_0^\xi},$$

Eigensystem multiscale analysis in an energy interval

Theorem

Let H_ω be an Anderson model. Given $m_- > 0$, there exists a finite scale $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(d, m_-)$ and a constant $C_{d, m_-} > 0$ with the following property: Suppose for some scale $L_0 \geq \mathcal{L}$ we have

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{P} \{ \Lambda_{L_0}(x) \text{ is } (m_0, l_0)\text{-localizing for } H_\omega \} \geq 1 - e^{-L_0^\xi},$$

where $l_0 = (E - A_0, E + A_0) \subset \mathbb{R}$, with $E \in \mathbb{R}$ and $A_0 > 0$, and

$$m_- L_0^{-\kappa'} \leq m_0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{A_0}{4d} \right).$$

Eigensystem multiscale analysis in an energy interval

Theorem

Let H_ω be an Anderson model. Given $m_- > 0$, there exists a finite scale $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(d, m_-)$ and a constant $C_{d, m_-} > 0$ with the following property: Suppose for some scale $L_0 \geq \mathcal{L}$ we have

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{P} \{ \Lambda_{L_0}(x) \text{ is } (m_0, I_0)\text{-localizing for } H_\omega \} \geq 1 - e^{-L_0^\xi},$$

where $I_0 = (E - A_0, E + A_0) \subset \mathbb{R}$, with $E \in \mathbb{R}$ and $A_0 > 0$, and

$$m_- L_0^{-\kappa'} \leq m_0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{A_0}{4d} \right).$$

Let $A_\infty = A_\infty(A_0, L_0)$, $I_\infty = I_\infty(A_0, L_0)$, and $m_\infty = m_\infty(m_0, L_0, C_{d, m_-})$.

Eigensystem multiscale analysis in an energy interval

Theorem

Let H_ω be an Anderson model. Given $m_- > 0$, there exists a finite scale $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(d, m_-)$ and a constant $C_{d, m_-} > 0$ with the following property: Suppose for some scale $L_0 \geq \mathcal{L}$ we have

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{P} \{ \Lambda_{L_0}(x) \text{ is } (m_0, l_0)\text{-localizing for } H_\omega \} \geq 1 - e^{-L_0^\xi},$$

where $l_0 = (E - A_0, E + A_0) \subset \mathbb{R}$, with $E \in \mathbb{R}$ and $A_0 > 0$, and

$$m_- L_0^{-\kappa'} \leq m_0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{A_0}{4d} \right).$$

Let $A_\infty = A_\infty(A_0, L_0)$, $l_\infty = l_\infty(A_0, L_0)$, and $m_\infty = m_\infty(m_0, L_0, C_{d, m_-})$. Then for all $L \geq L_0^\gamma$ we have

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \Lambda_L(x) \text{ is } (m_\infty, l_\infty, l_\infty^{L^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}})\text{-localizing for } H_\omega \right\} \geq 1 - e^{-L^\xi},$$

Comments

- Theorem previously proved in the high disorder case, where $I_0 = \mathbb{R}$.

Comments

- Theorem previously proved in the high disorder case, where $I_0 = \mathbb{R}$.
- Theorem extended by Klein and Tsang to a bootstrap multiscale analysis.

Comments

- Theorem previously proved in the high disorder case, where $I_0 = \mathbb{R}$.
- Theorem extended by Klein and Tsang to a bootstrap multiscale analysis.
- This theorem implies all the usual forms of localization in the energy interval I_∞ .

Comments

- Theorem previously proved in the high disorder case, where $I_0 = \mathbb{R}$.
- Theorem extended by Klein and Tsang to a bootstrap multiscale analysis.
- This theorem implies all the usual forms of localization in the energy interval I_∞ .
- The usual forms of localization in an energy interval are commonly proved by either a Green's function multiscale analysis or the fractional moment method.

Localization on the energy interval

We fix $\nu > \frac{d}{2}$, and let T_0 be the operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ given by multiplication by the function $T_0(x) := \langle x \rangle^\nu$, where $\langle x \rangle = \sqrt{1 + \|x\|^2}$.

Localization on the energy interval

We fix $\nu > \frac{d}{2}$, and let T_0 be the operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ given by multiplication by the function $T_0(x) := \langle x \rangle^\nu$, where $\langle x \rangle = \sqrt{1 + \|x\|^2}$.

Corollary

Suppose the conclusions of the theorem hold for an Anderson model H_ω .

Localization on the energy interval

We fix $\nu > \frac{d}{2}$, and let T_0 be the operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ given by multiplication by the function $T_0(x) := \langle x \rangle^\nu$, where $\langle x \rangle = \sqrt{1 + \|x\|^2}$.

Corollary

Suppose the conclusions of the theorem hold for an Anderson model H_ω . Then the following holds with probability one ($l = l_\infty, m = m_\infty$):

Localization on the energy interval

We fix $\nu > \frac{d}{2}$, and let T_0 be the operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ given by multiplication by the function $T_0(x) := \langle x \rangle^\nu$, where $\langle x \rangle = \sqrt{1 + \|x\|^2}$.

Corollary

Suppose the conclusions of the theorem hold for an Anderson model H_ω . Then the following holds with probability one ($I = I_\infty$, $m = m_\infty$):

- 1 H_ω has pure point spectrum in the interval I .

Localization on the energy interval

We fix $\nu > \frac{d}{2}$, and let T_0 be the operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ given by multiplication by the function $T_0(x) := \langle x \rangle^\nu$, where $\langle x \rangle = \sqrt{1 + \|x\|^2}$.

Corollary

Suppose the conclusions of the theorem hold for an Anderson model H_ω . Then the following holds with probability one ($I = I_\infty$, $m = m_\infty$):

- 1 H_ω has pure point spectrum in the interval I .
- 2 If ψ_λ is an eigenfunction of H_ω with eigenvalue $\lambda \in I$, then ψ_λ is exponentially localized with rate of decay $\frac{m}{20} h_I(\lambda)$, more precisely,

$$|\psi_\lambda(x)| \leq C_{\omega, \lambda} \|T_0^{-1} \psi\| e^{-\frac{m}{20} h_I(\lambda) \|x\|} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Localization on the energy interval

We fix $\nu > \frac{d}{2}$, and let T_0 be the operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ given by multiplication by the function $T_0(x) := \langle x \rangle^\nu$, where $\langle x \rangle = \sqrt{1 + \|x\|^2}$.

Corollary

Suppose the conclusions of the theorem hold for an Anderson model H_ω . Then the following holds with probability one ($I = I_\infty$, $m = m_\infty$):

- H_ω has pure point spectrum in the interval I .
- If ψ_λ is an eigenfunction of H_ω with eigenvalue $\lambda \in I$, then ψ_λ is exponentially localized with rate of decay $\frac{m}{20} h_I(\lambda)$, more precisely,

$$|\psi_\lambda(x)| \leq C_{\omega, \lambda} \|T_0^{-1} \psi\| e^{-\frac{m}{20} h_I(\lambda) \|x\|} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

- Given $\lambda \in I$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{X}_{\{\lambda\}}(H_\omega)$, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ we have

$$|\psi(x)| |\psi(y)| \leq C_{m, \omega, \nu} (h_I(\lambda))^{-\nu} \|T_0^{-1} \psi\|^2 \langle x \rangle^{2\nu} \times e^{2\nu m h_I(\lambda) (2d \log \langle x \rangle)^{\frac{1}{5}}} e^{-\frac{m}{20} h_I(\lambda) \|y-x\|}.$$

Initial step at the bottom of the spectrum: fixed disorder

Let H_ω be an Anderson model, and set $E_0 = \inf \Sigma = -2d + \inf \text{supp } \mu$, the bottom of the almost sure spectrum of H_ω .

Initial step at the bottom of the spectrum: fixed disorder

Let H_ω be an Anderson model, and set $E_0 = \inf \Sigma = -2d + \inf \text{supp } \mu$, the bottom of the almost sure spectrum of H_ω . We consider intervals at the bottom of the spectrum, more precisely, intervals of the form $J = [E_0, E_0 + A)$ with $A > 0$.

Initial step at the bottom of the spectrum: fixed disorder

Let H_ω be an Anderson model, and set $E_0 = \inf \Sigma = -2d + \inf \text{supp } \mu$, the bottom of the almost sure spectrum of H_ω . We consider intervals at the bottom of the spectrum, more precisely, intervals of the form $J = [E_0, E_0 + A)$ with $A > 0$. We set $\tilde{J} = (E_0 - A, E_0 + A)$, so $J \cap \Sigma = \tilde{J} \cap \Sigma$, and call a box (m, J) -localizing if it is (m, \tilde{J}) -localizing.

Initial step at the bottom of the spectrum: fixed disorder

Let H_ω be an Anderson model, and set $E_0 = \inf \Sigma = -2d + \inf \text{supp } \mu$, the bottom of the almost sure spectrum of H_ω . We consider intervals at the bottom of the spectrum, more precisely, intervals of the form

$J = [E_0, E_0 + A)$ with $A > 0$. We set $\tilde{J} = (E_0 - A, E_0 + A)$, so $J \cap \Sigma = \tilde{J} \cap \Sigma$, and call a box (m, J) -localizing if it is (m, \tilde{J}) -localizing.

The following proposition follows from a Lifshitz tails estimate.

Initial step at the bottom of the spectrum: fixed disorder

Let H_ω be an Anderson model, and set $E_0 = \inf \Sigma = -2d + \inf \text{supp } \mu$, the bottom of the almost sure spectrum of H_ω . We consider intervals at the bottom of the spectrum, more precisely, intervals of the form $J = [E_0, E_0 + A)$ with $A > 0$. We set $\tilde{J} = (E_0 - A, E_0 + A)$, so $J \cap \Sigma = \tilde{J} \cap \Sigma$, and call a box (m, J) -localizing if it is (m, \tilde{J}) -localizing.

The following proposition follows from a Lifshitz tails estimate.

Proposition

There exists a constant $C_{d,\mu} > 0$ such that, given $\zeta \in (0, 1)$, for sufficiently large L we have

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{P} \left\{ H_{\Lambda_L(x)} > E_0 + C_{d,\mu} L^{-\frac{2\zeta}{d}} \right\} \geq 1 - e^{-L^\zeta}.$$

Initial step at the bottom of the spectrum: fixed disorder

Let H_ω be an Anderson model, and set $E_0 = \inf \Sigma = -2d + \inf \text{supp } \mu$, the bottom of the almost sure spectrum of H_ω . We consider intervals at the bottom of the spectrum, more precisely, intervals of the form $J = [E_0, E_0 + A)$ with $A > 0$. We set $\tilde{J} = (E_0 - A, E_0 + A)$, so $J \cap \Sigma = \tilde{J} \cap \Sigma$, and call a box (m, J) -localizing if it is (m, \tilde{J}) -localizing.

The following proposition follows from a Lifshitz tails estimate.

Proposition

There exists a constant $C_{d,\mu} > 0$ such that, given $\zeta \in (0, 1)$, for sufficiently large L we have

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{P} \left\{ H_{\Lambda_L(x)} > E_0 + C_{d,\mu} L^{-\frac{2\zeta}{d}} \right\} \geq 1 - e^{-L^\zeta}.$$

In particular, for all intervals $J_\zeta(L) = [E_0, E_0 + C_{d,\mu} L^{-\frac{2\zeta}{d}})$ and all $m > 0$,

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \Lambda_L(x) \text{ is } (m, J_\zeta(L))\text{-localizing for } H_\omega \right\} \geq 1 - e^{-L^\zeta}.$$

We combine the Proposition with the Theorem, taking $l_0 = \widetilde{J_\zeta(L_0)}$, i.e.,
 $E = E_0$ and $A_0 = C_{d,\mu} L_0^{-\frac{2\zeta}{d}}$, $m_0 = \frac{1}{9d} C_{d,\mu} L^{-\frac{2\zeta}{d}}$, $m_- = \frac{1}{9d} C_{d,\mu}$, $\kappa' = \frac{2\zeta}{d}$.

We combine the Proposition with the Theorem, taking $l_0 = \widetilde{J_\zeta(L_0)}$, i.e.,

$$E = E_0 \text{ and } A_0 = C_{d,\mu} L_0^{-\frac{2\zeta}{d}}, \quad m_0 = \frac{1}{9d} C_{d,\mu} L^{-\frac{2\zeta}{d}}, \quad m_- = \frac{1}{9d} C_{d,\mu}, \quad \kappa' = \frac{2\zeta}{d}.$$

We require $\frac{2\zeta}{d} < \tau - \gamma\beta$, and then choose $0 < \kappa < \tau - \gamma\beta - \kappa'$.

We combine the Proposition with the Theorem, taking $l_0 = J_\zeta(L_0)$, i.e.,
 $E = E_0$ and $A_0 = C_{d,\mu} L_0^{-\frac{2\zeta}{d}}$, $m_0 = \frac{1}{9d} C_{d,\mu} L^{-\frac{2\zeta}{d}}$, $m_- = \frac{1}{9d} C_{d,\mu}$, $\kappa' = \frac{2\zeta}{d}$.
We require $\frac{2\zeta}{d} < \tau - \gamma\beta$, and then choose $0 < \kappa < \tau - \gamma\beta - \kappa'$. We find
that can choose the exponents as desired as long as $\zeta < \frac{d}{d+2}$.

We combine the Proposition with the Theorem, taking $l_0 = \widetilde{J_\zeta(L_0)}$, i.e.,

$$E = E_0 \text{ and } A_0 = C_{d,\mu} L_0^{-\frac{2\zeta}{d}}, \quad m_0 = \frac{1}{9d} C_{d,\mu} L^{-\frac{2\zeta}{d}}, \quad m_- = \frac{1}{9d} C_{d,\mu}, \quad \kappa' = \frac{2\zeta}{d}.$$

We require $\frac{2\zeta}{d} < \tau - \gamma\beta$, and then choose $0 < \kappa < \tau - \gamma\beta - \kappa'$. We find that can choose the exponents as desired as long as $\zeta < \frac{d}{d+2}$.

Theorem

Let H_ω be an Anderson model, and fix $0 < \xi < \zeta < \frac{d}{d+2}$.

We combine the Proposition with the Theorem, taking $l_0 = \widetilde{J_\zeta(L_0)}$, i.e., $E = E_0$ and $A_0 = C_{d,\mu} L_0^{-\frac{2\zeta}{d}}$, $m_0 = \frac{1}{9d} C_{d,\mu} L^{-\frac{2\zeta}{d}}$, $m_- = \frac{1}{9d} C_{d,\mu}$, $\kappa' = \frac{2\zeta}{d}$. We require $\frac{2\zeta}{d} < \tau - \gamma\beta$, and then choose $0 < \kappa < \tau - \gamma\beta - \kappa'$. We find that can choose the exponents as desired as long as $\zeta < \frac{d}{d+2}$.

Theorem

Let H_ω be an Anderson model, and fix $0 < \xi < \zeta < \frac{d}{d+2}$. Then there exists $\gamma > 1$ such that, if L_0 is sufficiently large, for all $L \geq L_0^\gamma$ we have

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \Lambda_L(x) \text{ is } (m_{\zeta,\infty}, J_{\zeta,\infty}, J_{\zeta,\infty}^{L^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}})\text{-localizing for } H_\omega \right\} \geq 1 - e^{-L^\xi},$$

We combine the Proposition with the Theorem, taking $l_0 = \widetilde{J_\zeta(L_0)}$, i.e., $E = E_0$ and $A_0 = C_{d,\mu} L_0^{-\frac{2\zeta}{d}}$, $m_0 = \frac{1}{9d} C_{d,\mu} L_0^{-\frac{2\zeta}{d}}$, $m_- = \frac{1}{9d} C_{d,\mu}$, $\kappa' = \frac{2\zeta}{d}$. We require $\frac{2\zeta}{d} < \tau - \gamma\beta$, and then choose $0 < \kappa < \tau - \gamma\beta - \kappa'$. We find that can choose the exponents as desired as long as $\zeta < \frac{d}{d+2}$.

Theorem

Let H_ω be an Anderson model, and fix $0 < \xi < \zeta < \frac{d}{d+2}$. Then there exists $\gamma > 1$ such that, if L_0 is sufficiently large, for all $L \geq L_0^\gamma$ we have

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \Lambda_L(x) \text{ is } (m_{\zeta,\infty}, J_{\zeta,\infty}, J_{\zeta,\infty}^{L^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}})\text{-localizing for } H_\omega \right\} \geq 1 - e^{-L^\xi},$$

where

$$A_{\zeta,\infty} = C_{d,\mu} L_0^{-\frac{2\zeta}{d}} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - L_0^{-\kappa\gamma^k} \right) \geq \frac{1}{2} C_{d,\mu} L_0^{-\frac{2\zeta}{d}}, \quad J_{\zeta,\infty} = [E_0, E_0 + A_{\zeta,\infty}),$$

$$m_{\zeta,\infty} = \frac{1}{9d} C_{d,\mu} L_0^{-\frac{2\zeta}{d}} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - C_{d,\frac{1}{9d}} C_{d,\mu} L_0^{-\rho\gamma^k} \right) \geq \frac{1}{18d} C_{d,\mu} L_0^{-\frac{2\zeta}{d}}.$$

We combine the Proposition with the Theorem, taking $l_0 = \widetilde{J_\zeta(L_0)}$, i.e., $E = E_0$ and $A_0 = C_{d,\mu} L_0^{-\frac{2\zeta}{d}}$, $m_0 = \frac{1}{9d} C_{d,\mu} L_0^{-\frac{2\zeta}{d}}$, $m_- = \frac{1}{9d} C_{d,\mu}$, $\kappa' = \frac{2\zeta}{d}$. We require $\frac{2\zeta}{d} < \tau - \gamma\beta$, and then choose $0 < \kappa < \tau - \gamma\beta - \kappa'$. We find that can choose the exponents as desired as long as $\zeta < \frac{d}{d+2}$.

Theorem

Let H_ω be an Anderson model, and fix $0 < \xi < \zeta < \frac{d}{d+2}$. Then there exists $\gamma > 1$ such that, if L_0 is sufficiently large, for all $L \geq L_0^\gamma$ we have

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \Lambda_L(x) \text{ is } (m_{\zeta,\infty}, J_{\zeta,\infty}, J_{\zeta,\infty}^{L^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}})\text{-localizing for } H_\omega \right\} \geq 1 - e^{-L^\xi},$$

where

$$A_{\zeta,\infty} = C_{d,\mu} L_0^{-\frac{2\zeta}{d}} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - L_0^{-\kappa\gamma^k} \right) \geq \frac{1}{2} C_{d,\mu} L_0^{-\frac{2\zeta}{d}}, \quad J_{\zeta,\infty} = [E_0, E_0 + A_{\zeta,\infty}),$$

$$m_{\zeta,\infty} = \frac{1}{9d} C_{d,\mu} L_0^{-\frac{2\zeta}{d}} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - C_{d,\frac{1}{9d}} C_{d,\mu} L_0^{-\rho\gamma^k} \right) \geq \frac{1}{18d} C_{d,\mu} L_0^{-\frac{2\zeta}{d}}.$$

The conclusions of the Theorem and the Corollary hold in the interval $J_{\zeta,\infty}$.

We combine the Proposition with the Theorem, taking $l_0 = \widetilde{J_\zeta(L_0)}$, i.e., $E = E_0$ and $A_0 = C_{d,\mu} L_0^{-\frac{2\zeta}{d}}$, $m_0 = \frac{1}{9d} C_{d,\mu} L_0^{-\frac{2\zeta}{d}}$, $m_- = \frac{1}{9d} C_{d,\mu}$, $\kappa' = \frac{2\zeta}{d}$. We require $\frac{2\zeta}{d} < \tau - \gamma\beta$, and then choose $0 < \kappa < \tau - \gamma\beta - \kappa'$. We find that can choose the exponents as desired as long as $\zeta < \frac{d}{d+2}$.

Theorem

Let H_ω be an Anderson model, and fix $0 < \xi < \zeta < \frac{d}{d+2}$. Then there exists $\gamma > 1$ such that, if L_0 is sufficiently large, for all $L \geq L_0^\gamma$ we have

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \Lambda_L(x) \text{ is } (m_{\zeta,\infty}, J_{\zeta,\infty}, J_{\zeta,\infty}^{L^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}})\text{-localizing for } H_\omega \right\} \geq 1 - e^{-L^\xi},$$

where

$$A_{\zeta,\infty} = C_{d,\mu} L_0^{-\frac{2\zeta}{d}} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - L_0^{-\kappa\gamma^k} \right) \geq \frac{1}{2} C_{d,\mu} L_0^{-\frac{2\zeta}{d}}, \quad J_{\zeta,\infty} = [E_0, E_0 + A_{\zeta,\infty}),$$

$$m_{\zeta,\infty} = \frac{1}{9d} C_{d,\mu} L_0^{-\frac{2\zeta}{d}} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - C_{d,\frac{1}{9d}} C_{d,\mu} L_0^{-\rho\gamma^k} \right) \geq \frac{1}{18d} C_{d,\mu} L_0^{-\frac{2\zeta}{d}}.$$

The conclusions of the Theorem and the Corollary hold in the interval $J_{\zeta,\infty}$. Note $\lim_{L_0 \rightarrow \infty} A_{\zeta,\infty} L_0^{\frac{2\zeta}{d}} = C_{d,\mu}$ and $\lim_{L_0 \rightarrow \infty} m_{\zeta,\infty} L_0^{\frac{2\zeta}{d}} = \frac{C_{d,\mu}}{9d}$.

Initial step at the bottom of the spectrum: fixed interval

We may also use disorder to start the eigensystem multiscale analysis in a fixed interval at the bottom of the the spectrum.

Initial step at the bottom of the spectrum: fixed interval

We may also use disorder to start the eigensystem multiscale analysis in a fixed interval at the bottom of the the spectrum.

Let $H_{g,\omega} = -\Delta + gV_\omega$, where $g > 0$, and assume $\{0\} \in \text{supp } \mu \subset [0, \infty)$, so $E_0 = \inf \Sigma = -2d$.

Initial step at the bottom of the spectrum: fixed interval

We may also use disorder to start the eigensystem multiscale analysis in a fixed interval at the bottom of the the spectrum.

Let $H_{g,\omega} = -\Delta + gV_\omega$, where $g > 0$, and assume $\{0\} \in \text{supp } \mu \subset [0, \infty)$, so $E_0 = \inf \Sigma = -2d$.

Then, given $B > 0$ and $\zeta \in (0, 1)$, there exists $g_\zeta(L)$ such that for all $g \geq g_\zeta(L)$ we have

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{P} \{ H_{g, \Lambda_L(x)} \geq -2d + B \} \geq 1 - (L+1)^d K(g^{-1}B)^\alpha \geq 1 - L^{-\zeta}.$$

Initial step at the bottom of the spectrum: fixed interval

We may also use disorder to start the eigensystem multiscale analysis in a fixed interval at the bottom of the the spectrum.

Let $H_{g,\omega} = -\Delta + gV_\omega$, where $g > 0$, and assume $\{0\} \in \text{supp } \mu \subset [0, \infty)$, so $E_0 = \inf \Sigma = -2d$.

Then, given $B > 0$ and $\zeta \in (0, 1)$, there exists $g_\zeta(L)$ such that for all $g \geq g_\zeta(L)$ we have

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{P} \{ H_{g, \Lambda_L(x)} \geq -2d + B \} \geq 1 - (L+1)^d K(g^{-1}B)^\alpha \geq 1 - L^{-\zeta}.$$

It follows that, given $\zeta \in (0, 1)$, for $g \geq g_\zeta(L)$ and all $m > 0$ we have

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{P} \{ \Lambda_L(x) \text{ is } (m, [-2d, -2d + B])\text{-localizing for } H_{g,\omega} \} \geq 1 - e^{-L^\zeta}.$$

Theorem

Let $H_{g,\omega}$ be an Anderson model with disorder as above, and choose appropriate exponents.

Theorem

Let $H_{g,\omega}$ be an Anderson model with disorder as above, and choose appropriate exponents. Given $B > 0$, let $J(B) = [-2d, -2d + B)$ and pick $0 < m \leq \frac{1}{2} \log(1 + \frac{B}{4d})$.

Theorem

Let $H_{g,\omega}$ be an Anderson model with disorder as above, and choose appropriate exponents. Given $B > 0$, let $J(B) = [-2d, -2d + B)$ and pick $0 < m \leq \frac{1}{2} \log(1 + \frac{B}{4d})$. Then, if L_0 is sufficiently large, for all $L \geq L_0^\gamma$ and $g \geq g_\zeta(L_0)$ we have

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \Lambda_L(x) \text{ is } (m_\infty, J_\infty(B), (J_\infty(B))^{L^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}})\text{-localizing for } H_{g,\omega} \right\} \geq 1 - e^{-L^\xi},$$

Theorem

Let $H_{g,\omega}$ be an Anderson model with disorder as above, and choose appropriate exponents. Given $B > 0$, let $J(B) = [-2d, -2d + B)$ and pick $0 < m \leq \frac{1}{2} \log(1 + \frac{B}{4d})$. Then, if L_0 is sufficiently large, for all $L \geq L_0^\gamma$ and $g \geq g_\zeta(L_0)$ we have

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \Lambda_L(x) \text{ is } (m_\infty, J_\infty(B), (J_\infty(B))^{L^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}})\text{-localizing for } H_{g,\omega} \right\} \geq 1 - e^{-L^\xi},$$

where

$$A_\infty = A_\infty(L_0) = B \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - L_0^{-\kappa \gamma^k} \right), \quad J_\infty = J_\infty(L_0) = [-2d, -2d + A_\infty),$$

$$m_\infty = m_\infty(L_0) = m \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - C_{d,m} L_0^{-\rho \gamma^k} \right).$$

Theorem

Let $H_{g,\omega}$ be an Anderson model with disorder as above, and choose appropriate exponents. Given $B > 0$, let $J(B) = [-2d, -2d + B)$ and pick $0 < m \leq \frac{1}{2} \log(1 + \frac{B}{4d})$. Then, if L_0 is sufficiently large, for all $L \geq L_0^\gamma$ and $g \geq g_\zeta(L_0)$ we have

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \Lambda_L(x) \text{ is } (m_\infty, J_\infty(B), (J_\infty(B))^{L^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}})\text{-localizing for } H_{g,\omega} \right\} \geq 1 - e^{-L^\xi},$$

where

$$A_\infty = A_\infty(L_0) = B \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - L_0^{-\kappa \gamma^k} \right), \quad J_\infty = J_\infty(L_0) = [-2d, -2d + A_\infty),$$

$$m_\infty = m_\infty(L_0) = m \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - C_{d,m} L_0^{-\rho \gamma^k} \right).$$

In particular, the conclusions of the Theorem and Corollary hold in the interval J_∞ . Moreover, $\lim_{L_0 \rightarrow \infty} A_\infty(L_0) = B$ and $\lim_{L_0 \rightarrow \infty} m_\infty(L_0) = m$.

Decay of Green's functions in (m, I) -localizing boxes

Lemma

Fix $m_- > 0$. Let $I = (E - A, E + A)$, with $E \in \mathbb{R}$ and $A > 0$, and $m > 0$. Suppose that Λ_L is (m, I) -localizing for H , where

$$m_- L^{-\kappa'} \leq m \leq \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{A}{4d} \right).$$

Decay of Green's functions in (m, I) -localizing boxes

Lemma

Fix $m_- > 0$. Let $I = (E - A, E + A)$, with $E \in \mathbb{R}$ and $A > 0$, and $m > 0$. Suppose that Λ_L is (m, I) -localizing for H , where

$$m_- L^{-\kappa'} \leq m \leq \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{A}{4d} \right).$$

Let $\lambda \in I_L$ with $\text{dist}\{\lambda, \sigma(H_{\Lambda_L})\} \geq e^{-L^\beta}$.

Decay of Green's functions in (m, l) -localizing boxes

Lemma

Fix $m_- > 0$. Let $I = (E - A, E + A)$, with $E \in \mathbb{R}$ and $A > 0$, and $m > 0$. Suppose that Λ_L is (m, l) -localizing for H , where

$$m_- L^{-\kappa'} \leq m \leq \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{A}{4d} \right).$$

Let $\lambda \in I_L$ with $\text{dist}\{\lambda, \sigma(H_{\Lambda_L})\} \geq e^{-L^\beta}$.

Then, letting $G_{\Lambda_L}(\lambda) = (H_{\Lambda_L} - \lambda)^{-1}$, we have

$$|G_{\Lambda_L}(\lambda; x, y)| \leq e^{-m'' h_l(\lambda) \|x-y\|} \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \Lambda_L \text{ with } \|x-y\| \geq \frac{L}{100},$$

where

$$m'' \geq m \left(1 - C_{d, m_-} L^{-(1-\tau)} \right).$$

The main difficulty

Let Λ_L be (m, l) -localizing for H , and let $\{(\varphi_v, v)\}_{v \in \sigma(H_{\Lambda_L})}$ be an (m, l) -localized eigensystem for H_{Λ_L} .

The main difficulty

Let Λ_L be (m, l) -localizing for H , and let $\{(\varphi_v, v)\}_{v \in \sigma(H_{\Lambda_L})}$ be an (m, l) -localized eigensystem for H_{Λ_L} . Let $x, y \in \Lambda_L$ with $\|x - y\| \geq \frac{L}{100}$. Given $v \in \sigma_l(H_{\Lambda_L})$, since either $\|x - x_v\| \geq L^\tau$ or $\|y - x_v\| \geq L^\tau$, we have

$$|\varphi_v(x)\varphi_v(y)| \leq e^{-m'h_l(v)\|x-y\|}, \quad \text{where } m' \geq m(1 - 100L^{\tau-1}).$$

The main difficulty

Let Λ_L be (m, l) -localizing for H , and let $\{(\varphi_v, v)\}_{v \in \sigma(H_{\Lambda_L})}$ be an (m, l) -localized eigensystem for H_{Λ_L} . Let $x, y \in \Lambda_L$ with $\|x - y\| \geq \frac{L}{100}$. Given $v \in \sigma_l(H_{\Lambda_L})$, since either $\|x - x_v\| \geq L^\tau$ or $\|y - x_v\| \geq L^\tau$, we have

$$|\varphi_v(x)\varphi_v(y)| \leq e^{-m'h_l(v)\|x-y\|}, \quad \text{where } m' \geq m(1 - 100L^{\tau-1}).$$

$$G_{\Lambda_L}(\lambda; x, y) = \sum_{v \in \sigma_l(H_{\Lambda_\ell})} (v - \lambda)^{-1} \overline{\varphi_v(x)} \varphi_v(y) + \sum_{v \in \sigma_{\mathbb{R} \setminus l}(H_{\Lambda_\ell})} (v - \lambda)^{-1} \overline{\varphi_v(x)} \varphi_v(y).$$

The main difficulty

Let Λ_L be (m, l) -localizing for H , and let $\{(\varphi_\nu, \nu)\}_{\nu \in \sigma(H_{\Lambda_L})}$ be an (m, l) -localized eigensystem for H_{Λ_L} . Let $x, y \in \Lambda_L$ with $\|x - y\| \geq \frac{L}{100}$. Given $\nu \in \sigma_I(H_{\Lambda_L})$, since either $\|x - x_\nu\| \geq L^\tau$ or $\|y - x_\nu\| \geq L^\tau$, we have

$$|\varphi_\nu(x)\varphi_\nu(y)| \leq e^{-m'h_I(\nu)\|x-y\|}, \quad \text{where } m' \geq m(1 - 100L^{\tau-1}).$$

$$G_{\Lambda_L}(\lambda; x, y) = \sum_{\nu \in \sigma_I(H_{\Lambda_\ell})} (\nu - \lambda)^{-1} \overline{\varphi_\nu(x)} \varphi_\nu(y) + \sum_{\nu \in \sigma_{\mathbb{R} \setminus I}(H_{\Lambda_\ell})} (\nu - \lambda)^{-1} \overline{\varphi_\nu(x)} \varphi_\nu(y).$$

We know $\left| \sum_{\nu \in \sigma_I(H_{\Lambda_\ell})} (\nu - \lambda)^{-1} \overline{\varphi_\nu(x)} \varphi_\nu(y) \right| \leq e^{L^\beta} \sum_{\nu \in \sigma_I(H_{\Lambda_\ell})} e^{-m'h_I(\nu)\|x-y\|}.$

The main difficulty

Let Λ_L be (m, l) -localizing for H , and let $\{(\varphi_v, v)\}_{v \in \sigma(H_{\Lambda_L})}$ be an (m, l) -localized eigensystem for H_{Λ_L} . Let $x, y \in \Lambda_L$ with $\|x - y\| \geq \frac{L}{100}$. Given $v \in \sigma_I(H_{\Lambda_L})$, since either $\|x - x_v\| \geq L^\tau$ or $\|y - x_v\| \geq L^\tau$, we have

$$|\varphi_v(x)\varphi_v(y)| \leq e^{-m'h_I(v)\|x-y\|}, \quad \text{where } m' \geq m(1 - 100L^{\tau-1}).$$

$$G_{\Lambda_L}(\lambda; x, y) = \sum_{v \in \sigma_I(H_{\Lambda_\ell})} (v - \lambda)^{-1} \overline{\varphi_v(x)} \varphi_v(y) + \sum_{v \in \sigma_{\mathbb{R} \setminus I}(H_{\Lambda_\ell})} (v - \lambda)^{-1} \overline{\varphi_v(x)} \varphi_v(y).$$

We know $\left| \sum_{v \in \sigma_I(H_{\Lambda_\ell})} (v - \lambda)^{-1} \overline{\varphi_v(x)} \varphi_v(y) \right| \leq e^{L^\beta} \sum_{v \in \sigma_I(H_{\Lambda_\ell})} e^{-m'h_I(v)\|x-y\|}.$

How can we estimate $\sum_{v \in \sigma_{\mathbb{R} \setminus I}(H_{\Lambda_\ell})} (v - \lambda)^{-1} \overline{\varphi_v(x)} \varphi_v(y)$? We have no information on φ_v for $v \notin I$. Where does the decay comes from?