

Extreme Points of Unital Quantum Channels

Mary Beth Ruskai

University of Vermont

`ruskai@member.ams.org`

joint work with U. Haagerup and M. Musat

QMATH13

October, 2016

- Review: Stinespring, extreme points conds, etc.
- Family of factorizable extreme UCPT maps
extreme mixed states with max mixed quant marginals
- Extreme points of CPT and UCP with Choi-rank d
Kraus ops are partial isometries and generalization
- Example for $d = 2\nu + 1$ odd
- Universal example
Reformulate linear independence as eigenvalue problem
Associate eigenvectors (lin dep) with irreps of S_n

Complete positivity

Def: $\Phi : M_{d_A} \mapsto M_{d_B}$ is completely positive (CP) if $\Phi \otimes \mathcal{I}_{d_E}$ preserves positivity $\forall d_E$. Suffices to consider $d_E = \min\{d_A, d_B\}$

Complete positivity

Def: $\Phi : M_{d_A} \mapsto M_{d_B}$ is completely positive (CP) if $\Phi \otimes \mathcal{I}_{d_E}$ preserves positivity $\forall d_E$. Suffices to consider $d_E = \min\{d_A, d_B\}$

Thm: (Choi) Φ is CP $\Leftrightarrow J_\Phi = \sum_{jk} |e_j\rangle\langle e_k| \otimes \Phi(|e_j\rangle\langle e_k|) \geq 0$

Quantum Channel: Φ is CP and trace-preserving (CPT)

TP means $\text{Tr} \Phi(A) = \text{Tr} A \quad \forall A \in M_{d_A}$

Φ UCP if unital, i.e., $\Phi(I_{d_A}) = I_{d_B}$ and CP

Def: $\Phi : M_{d_A} \mapsto M_{d_B}$ is completely positive (CP) if $\Phi \otimes \mathcal{I}_{d_E}$ preserves positivity $\forall d_E$. Suffices to consider $d_E = \min\{d_A, d_B\}$

Thm: (Choi) Φ is CP $\Leftrightarrow J_\Phi = \sum_{jk} |e_j\rangle\langle e_k| \otimes \Phi(|e_j\rangle\langle e_k|) \geq 0$

Quantum Channel: Φ is CP and trace-preserving (CPT)

TP means $\text{Tr} \Phi(A) = \text{Tr} A \quad \forall A \in M_{d_A}$

Φ UCP if unital, i.e., $\Phi(I_{d_A}) = I_{d_B}$ and CP

$\Phi : M_{d_A} \mapsto M_{d_B}$ is TP $\Leftrightarrow \hat{\Phi} : M_{d_B} \mapsto M_{d_A}$ is unital

$\hat{\Phi}$ adjoint wrt Hilb-Schmidt inner prod. $\text{Tr} [\hat{\Phi}(A)]^* B = \text{Tr} A^* \Phi(B)$

Choi condition for extremality

Choi-Kraus CP

$$\Phi(A) = \sum_k F_k A F_k^*$$

F_k not unique but

Choi condition for extremality

Choi-Kraus CP $\Phi(A) = \sum_k F_k A F_k^*$ F_k not unique but

Choi obtained F_k by “stacking” e-vec of J_Φ with non-zero evals

Thm: (Choi) Φ is extreme in set of CP maps with $\sum_k F_k^* F_k = X$

$\Leftrightarrow \{F_j^* F_k\}$ is linearly independent.

$\Rightarrow \Phi = \sum_k F_k A F_k^*$ extreme CPT map $\Leftrightarrow \{F_j^* F_k\}$ is lin indep.

$\Rightarrow \Phi = \sum_k F_k A F_k^*$ extreme UCP map $\Leftrightarrow \{F_j F_k^*\}$ is lin indep.

Cor: extreme CPT $\Rightarrow d_E \leq d_B$ extreme UCP $\Rightarrow d_E \leq d_A$

Factorizable: \exists unitary U such that $\Phi(\rho) = \text{Tr}_E U(\rho \otimes \frac{1}{d} I_d) U^*$

Factorizable maps on matrix algebras

Recall Stinespring $\Phi(\rho) = \text{Tr}_E U(\rho \otimes |\phi\rangle\langle\phi|) U^*$

Factorizable: \exists unitary U such that $\Phi(\rho) = \text{Tr}_E U(\rho \otimes \frac{1}{d} I_d) U^*$

$$\Rightarrow \Phi(\rho) = \sum_k \frac{1}{d} \text{Tr}_E U(\rho \otimes |e_k\rangle\langle e_k|) U^*$$

Factorizable \Rightarrow Not Extreme

Extreme \Rightarrow Not Factorizable

Factorizable maps on matrix algebras

Recall Stinespring $\Phi(\rho) = \text{Tr}_E U(\rho \otimes |\phi\rangle\langle\phi|) U^*$

Factorizable: \exists unitary U such that $\Phi(\rho) = \text{Tr}_E U(\rho \otimes \frac{1}{d} I_d) U^*$

$$\Rightarrow \Phi(\rho) = \sum_k \frac{1}{d} \text{Tr}_E U(\rho \otimes |e_k\rangle\langle e_k|) U^*$$

Factorizable \Rightarrow Not Extreme Extreme \Rightarrow Not Factorizable

Question: “small environment”

For $\Phi : M_d \mapsto M_d$ can one make environment $d_E \leq d$ if replace $|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|$ by DM γ s. t. $\Phi(\rho) = \text{Tr}_E U(\rho \otimes \gamma) U^*$

More general: arbitrary γ rather than max mixed $\frac{1}{d} I_d$

More restrictive: $\rho \in M_d$ rather than higher dim environment

Factorizable maps on matrix algebras

Recall Stinespring $\Phi(\rho) = \text{Tr}_E U(\rho \otimes |\phi\rangle\langle\phi|) U^*$

Factorizable: \exists unitary U such that $\Phi(\rho) = \text{Tr}_E U(\rho \otimes \frac{1}{d} I_d) U^*$

$$\Rightarrow \Phi(\rho) = \sum_k \frac{1}{d} \text{Tr}_E U(\rho \otimes |e_k\rangle\langle e_k|) U^*$$

Factorizable \Rightarrow Not Extreme Extreme \Rightarrow Not Factorizable

Question: “small environment” two groups showed false \approx 1999

For $\Phi : M_d \mapsto M_d$ can one make environment $d_E \leq d$ if replace $|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|$ by DM γ s. t. $\Phi(\rho) = \text{Tr}_E U(\rho \otimes \gamma) U^*$

More general: arbitrary γ rather than max mixed $\frac{1}{d} I_d$

More restrictive: $\rho \in M_d$ rather than higher dim environment

Question: Are there UCPT maps $\Phi : M_d \mapsto M_d$ not extreme in either UCP or CPT maps, but are extreme in UCPT maps.

Thm: (Landau-Streater) $\Phi : M_d \mapsto M_d$ is extreme in set of UCPT maps $\Leftrightarrow \{A_j^* A_k \oplus A_k A_j^*\}$ linearly independent $\Phi(\rho) = \sum_k A_k \rho A_k^*$

Question: Are there UCPT maps $\Phi : M_d \mapsto M_d$ not extreme in either UCP or CPT maps, but are extreme in UCPT maps.

Thm: (Landau-Streater) $\Phi : M_d \mapsto M_d$ is extreme in set of UCPT maps $\Leftrightarrow \{A_j^* A_k \oplus A_k A_j^*\}$ linearly independent $\Phi(\rho) = \sum_k A_k \rho A_k^*$

By C-J isomorphism convex set of UCPT maps isomorphic to bipartite states with maximally mixed quantum marginals

Equiv: Are there extreme points in convex set of bipartite states ρ_{AB} with $\rho_A = \rho_B = \frac{1}{d} I_d$ which are not max entang pure states?

Question: Are there UCPT maps $\Phi : M_d \mapsto M_d$ not extreme in either UCP or CPT maps, but are extreme in UCPT maps.

Thm: (Landau-Streater) $\Phi : M_d \mapsto M_d$ is extreme in set of UCPT maps $\Leftrightarrow \{A_j^* A_k \oplus A_k A_j^*\}$ linearly independent $\Phi(\rho) = \sum_k A_k \rho A_k^*$

By C-J isomorphism convex set of UCPT maps isomorphic to bipartite states with maximally mixed quantum marginals

Equiv: Are there extreme points in convex set of bipartite states ρ_{AB} with $\rho_A = \rho_B = \frac{1}{d} I_d$ which are not max entang pure states?

Def: Entanglement of Formation

$$\text{EoF}(\rho_{AB}) = \inf \left\{ \sum_k x_k E(\psi_k) : \sum_k x_k |\psi_k\rangle\langle\psi_k| = \rho_{AB} \right\}$$
$$E(\psi_{AB}) = S(\rho_A), \quad \rho_A = \text{Tr}_B |\psi_{AB}\rangle\langle\psi_{AB}|, \quad S(\rho) = -\text{Tr} \rho \log \rho$$

Known results about extreme points of CPT maps

- Qubit channels $\Phi : M_2 \mapsto M_2$
 - * Ruskai, Szarek Werner (2002) all extreme points
 - * UCPT much earlier, essent conj with I_2 or Pauli matrix
correspond to max entangled Bells states – tetrahedron
- Parthasarathy ρ_{AB} state on $\mathbf{C}_2 \otimes \mathbf{C}_d$ extreme \Leftrightarrow max entang

Known results about extreme points of CPT maps

- Qubit channels $\Phi : M_2 \mapsto M_2$
 - * Ruskai, Szarek Werner (2002) all extreme points
 - * UCPT much earlier, essent conj with I_2 or Pauli matrix correspond to max entangled Bells states – tetrahedron
- Parthasarathy ρ_{AB} state on $\mathbf{C}_2 \otimes \mathbf{C}_d$ extreme \Leftrightarrow max entang
- General UCPT $\Phi : M_d \mapsto M_d$ unitary conj are extreme
- Few other results — very special
 - * $d = 3$ Werner-Holevo channel and symmetric variant ext. not true for Werner-Holevo when $d > 3$
 - * Arveson-Ohno examples – few high rank in low dims one low rank family using partial isometries

Family of high rank extreme points of UCPT maps

$$\Phi_{\alpha,\beta}(\rho) = \sum_{k=1}^4 A_k^* \rho A_k$$

Def: For $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$ let

$$A_1 = \alpha|e_1\rangle\langle e_1| + |e_2\rangle\langle e_3|$$

$$A_2 = \beta|e_1\rangle\langle e_3| + |e_3\rangle\langle e_2|$$

$$A_3 = |e_1\rangle\langle e_2| + \bar{\beta}|e_3\rangle\langle e_1|$$

$$A_4 = |e_2\rangle\langle e_1| + \bar{\alpha}|e_3\rangle\langle e_3|$$

Family of high rank extreme points of UCPT maps

$$\Phi_{\alpha,\beta}(\rho) = \sum_{k=1}^4 A_k^* \rho A_k \quad \text{1-1 correspond with qubit pure states}$$

Def: For $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$ let or, equiv., vectors in \mathbf{R}_3

$$A_1 = \alpha|e_1\rangle\langle e_1| + |e_2\rangle\langle e_3| \quad A_2 = \beta|e_1\rangle\langle e_3| + |e_3\rangle\langle e_2|$$

$$A_3 = |e_1\rangle\langle e_2| + \bar{\beta}|e_3\rangle\langle e_1| \quad A_4 = |e_2\rangle\langle e_1| + \bar{\alpha}|e_3\rangle\langle e_3|$$

Family of high rank extreme points of UCPT maps

$$\Phi_{\alpha,\beta}(\rho) = \sum_{k=1}^4 A_k^* \rho A_k \quad \text{1-1 correspond with qubit pure states}$$

Def: For $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$ let or, equiv., vectors in \mathbf{R}_3

$$A_1 = \alpha|e_1\rangle\langle e_1| + |e_2\rangle\langle e_3| \quad A_2 = \beta|e_1\rangle\langle e_3| + |e_3\rangle\langle e_2|$$

$$A_3 = |e_1\rangle\langle e_2| + \bar{\beta}|e_3\rangle\langle e_1| \quad A_4 = |e_2\rangle\langle e_1| + \bar{\alpha}|e_3\rangle\langle e_3|$$

Observe $U = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ -A_3 & A_4 \end{pmatrix}$ is unitary $\in M_3 \otimes M_2$

$$\Rightarrow \Phi_{\alpha,\beta}(\rho) = \sum_{k=1}^4 (\mathcal{I}_3 \otimes \text{Tr})(U^*(\rho \otimes \frac{1}{2}I_2)U) \text{ factorizable}$$

Family of high rank extreme points of UCPT maps

$$\Phi_{\alpha,\beta}(\rho) = \sum_{k=1}^4 A_k^* \rho A_k \quad \text{1-1 correspond with qubit pure states}$$

Def: For $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$ let $(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3) \in \mathbf{R}_3$ or, equiv., vectors in \mathbf{R}_3

$$A_1 = \alpha|\mathbf{e}_1\rangle\langle\mathbf{e}_1| + |\mathbf{e}_2\rangle\langle\mathbf{e}_3| \quad A_2 = \beta|\mathbf{e}_1\rangle\langle\mathbf{e}_3| + |\mathbf{e}_3\rangle\langle\mathbf{e}_2|$$

$$A_3 = |\mathbf{e}_1\rangle\langle\mathbf{e}_2| + \bar{\beta}|\mathbf{e}_3\rangle\langle\mathbf{e}_1| \quad A_4 = |\mathbf{e}_2\rangle\langle\mathbf{e}_1| + \bar{\alpha}|\mathbf{e}_3\rangle\langle\mathbf{e}_3|$$

Observe $U = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ -A_3 & A_4 \end{pmatrix}$ is unitary $\in M_3 \otimes M_2$

$$\Rightarrow \Phi_{\alpha,\beta}(\rho) = \sum_{k=1}^4 (\mathcal{I}_3 \otimes \text{Tr})(U^*(\rho \otimes \frac{1}{2}I_2)U) \text{ factorizable}$$

Thm: $\Phi_{\alpha,\beta}$ is an extreme UCPT map for $\alpha, \beta \neq 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1$

corresponds to N and S poles and equator on Bloch sphere

Sketch proof:

$\alpha = \cos \theta$, $\beta = \sin \theta e^{i\phi}$ $\Phi_{\alpha,\beta}$ assoc with qubit pure state

$$\begin{pmatrix} |\alpha|^2 & \alpha\bar{\beta} \\ \bar{\alpha}\beta & |\beta|^2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} [I + \sin 2\theta \sin \phi \sigma_x - \sin 2\theta \cos \phi \sigma_y + \cos 2\theta \sigma_z]$$

$j = k$ can verify $\{A_k^* A_k \oplus A_k A_k^*\} \in \text{span}\{|e_j\rangle\langle e_j|\}$ linearly indep

Direct calc shows $A_j^* A_k \oplus A_k A_j^*$ for $j \neq k$ splits into 4 disjoint sets

can verify lin indep $\Leftrightarrow \alpha, \beta, \neq 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1$ calc det of 3×3

$$\text{EoF}(\rho_{AB}) = \frac{1+|\alpha|^2}{3} h\left(\frac{1}{1+|\alpha|^2}\right) + \frac{2-|\alpha|^2}{3} h\left(\frac{1}{2-|\alpha|^2}\right)$$

$$\frac{2}{3} \leq \text{EoF}(\rho_{AB}) \leq h\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) = 0.918296 < 1 = \log 2 < \log 3$$

poles

equator

Extreme points of UCP and CPT maps with rank d

$\{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_d\}$ unitary $\in M_{d-1}$, $S = \sum_k |e_k\rangle\langle e_{k+1}|$ cyclic shift

$$A_m = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d-1}} S^m \begin{pmatrix} V_m & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} S^{d-m}$$

$$A_m^* A_m = A_m A_m^* = \frac{1}{d-1} (I_d - |e_m\rangle\langle e_m|)$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_m A_m^* A_m = A_m A_m^* = I_d$$

$$\Rightarrow \Phi(\rho) = \sum_m A_m \rho A_m^* \text{ is both UCP and CPT}$$

Extreme points of UCP and CPT maps with rank d

$\{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_d\}$ unitary $\in M_{d-1}$, $S = \sum_k |e_k\rangle\langle e_{k+1}|$ cyclic shift

$$A_m = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d-1}} S^m \begin{pmatrix} V_m & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} S^{d-m}$$

$$A_m^* A_m = A_m A_m^* = \frac{1}{d-1} (I_d - |e_m\rangle\langle e_m|)$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_m A_m^* A_m = A_m A_m^* = I_d$$

$$\Rightarrow \Phi(\rho) = \sum_m A_m \rho A_m^* \text{ is both UCP and CPT}$$

Generalize
$$A_m = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d-1+t^2}} S^m \begin{pmatrix} V_m & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix} S^{d-m}$$

For $t \in (-1, 1)$,
$$A_m^* A_m = A_m A_m^* = \frac{1}{d-1} [I_d - (1-t^2)] |e_m\rangle\langle e_m|$$

Choi rank d which suggests extreme

Almost always extreme

Thm: For $t \in (-1, 1)$ fixed and $A_m = S^m \begin{pmatrix} V_m & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix} S^{d-m}$ if \exists one example with $\{A_m^* A_n\}$ linearly indep, then for almost every choice of unitary V_1, V_2, \dots, V_d the set $\{A_m^* A_n\}$ is lin indep.

Almost always extreme

Thm: For $t \in (-1, 1)$ fixed and $A_m = S^m \begin{pmatrix} V_m & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix} S^{d-m}$ if \exists one example with $\{A_m^* A_n\}$ linearly indep, then for almost every choice of unitary V_1, V_2, \dots, V_d the set $\{A_m^* A_n\}$ is lin indep.

Proof idea: $\{v_j\}$ lin indep iff gram matrix $g_{jk} = \langle v_j, v_k \rangle$ non-sing
For matrices $\{A_m A_n^*\}$ with Hilbert-Schmidt inner prod this is

$$g_{jk,mn} = \text{Tr}(A_j A_k^*)(A_m A_n^*)^* = \text{Tr} A_j A_k^* A_n A_m^*$$

$\det G$ is a poly in elements u_{jk}^m of matrices V_m .

If poly not ident. zero, roots an algebraic variety of measure zero

Notation: $|\mathbb{1}_d\rangle$ denotes the vector whose elements are all $d^{-1/2}$.

$$\text{If } x_{jk} = \begin{cases} \alpha, & j = k \\ \beta & j \neq k \end{cases} \quad \text{then } X = d\beta|\mathbb{1}_d\rangle\langle\mathbb{1}_d| + (\alpha - \beta)I_d$$

\Rightarrow e-vals of X are $\alpha - \beta$ with mult $d - 1$ and $\alpha + (d - 1)\beta$

Notation: $|\mathbb{1}_d\rangle$ denotes the vector whose elements are all $d^{-1/2}$.

$$\text{If } x_{jk} = \begin{cases} \alpha, & j = k \\ \beta & j \neq k \end{cases} \quad \text{then } X = d\beta|\mathbb{1}_d\rangle\langle\mathbb{1}_d| + (\alpha - \beta)I_d$$

\Rightarrow e-vals of X are $\alpha - \beta$ with mult $d - 1$ and $\alpha + (d - 1)\beta$

$$A_m = S^m \begin{pmatrix} V_m & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix} S^{d-m} \quad A_m^* A_m = I_d - (1 - t^2)|e_m\rangle\langle e_m| \text{ diag.}$$

Matrix with rows given by these diags is $d|\mathbb{1}_d\rangle\langle\mathbb{1}_d| + (t^2 - 1)I_d$

with e-vals $t^2 + (d - 1)$ and $t^2 - 1 \neq 0$ for $t \in (-1, 1)$.

$\Rightarrow \{A_m^* A_m\}$ lin indep and $\Rightarrow \text{span}\{A_m^* A_m\} = \text{span}\{|e_j\rangle\langle e_j|\}$

Notation: $|\mathbb{1}_d\rangle$ denotes the vector whose elements are all $d^{-1/2}$.

$$\text{If } x_{jk} = \begin{cases} \alpha, & j = k \\ \beta & j \neq k \end{cases} \quad \text{then } X = d\beta|\mathbb{1}_d\rangle\langle\mathbb{1}_d| + (\alpha - \beta)I_d$$

\Rightarrow e-vals of X are $\alpha - \beta$ with mult $d - 1$ and $\alpha + (d - 1)\beta$

$$A_m = S^m \begin{pmatrix} V_m & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix} S^{d-m} \quad A_m^* A_m = I_d - (1 - t^2)|e_m\rangle\langle e_m| \text{ diag.}$$

Matrix with rows given by these diags is $d|\mathbb{1}_d\rangle\langle\mathbb{1}_d| + (t^2 - 1)I_d$

with e-vals $t^2 + (d - 1)$ and $t^2 - 1 \neq 0$ for $t \in (-1, 1)$.

$\Rightarrow \{A_m^* A_m\}$ lin indep and $\Rightarrow \text{span}\{A_m^* A_m\} = \text{span}\{|e_j\rangle\langle e_j|\}$

\Rightarrow For purpose of determining lin indep of $\{A_m A_n^*\}$ can make arbitrary modifications to diagonal of $A_m A_n^*$

Main example

$S = \sum_k |e_k\rangle\langle e_{k+1}|$ cyclic shift

unitary $V_1 = V_2 = \dots V_d = V \equiv 2|\mathbb{1}_{d-1}\rangle\langle\mathbb{1}_{d-1}| - I_{d-1}$

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & V \end{pmatrix} \quad A_m = S^{-m}A_1S^m = S^{-1}A_{m-1}S$$

Note that $A_m = A_m^* \Rightarrow$ suffices to consider lin indep of $\{A_m A_n\}$

Thm: For $d \geq 3$ and $t \in (-1, 1)$ and $t \neq -\frac{1}{d-1}$

the set $\{A_m A_n\}$ is linearly independent

Cor: For $d \geq 3$, $t \in (-1, 1)$, $t \neq -\frac{1}{d-1}$ map $\Phi(\rho) = \sum_m A_m \rho A_m^*$ is an extreme point of both the UCP and CPT maps.

More refined results

- a) For $d \geq 3$ and $t = 1$, the sets $\{A_m^2\}_{m=1}^d$ and $\{A_m A_n - A_n A_m\}_{m < n}$ are each separately linearly dependent.
- b) For $d \geq 3$, $t = -1$, the set $\{A_m^2\}_{m=1}^d$ is linearly dependent but the set $\{A_m A_n\}_{m \neq n}$ is linearly independent.
- c) For $d \geq 4$, $t = \frac{-1}{d-1}$, the set $\{A_m^2\}_{m=1}^d$ is linearly independent, but $\sum_{m \neq n} A_m A_n$ is a multiple of I_d so that $\{A_m A_n\}$ is linearly dependent. Moreover, $\{A_m A_n - A_n A_m\}_{m < n}$ and $\{A_m A_n + A_n A_m\}_{m < n}$ are each linearly dependent.
- d) For $d = 3$, $t = \frac{-1}{d-1}$, the set $\{A_m^2\}_{m=1}^d$ is linearly independent, but $\sum_{m \neq n} A_m A_n = 0 \Rightarrow \{A_m A_n + A_n A_m\}_{m < n}$ is linearly dependent. Moreover, $\{A_m A_n - A_n A_m\}_{m < n}$ is also linearly dependent.

$d = 2\nu + 1$ odd

$$P_m = \sum_{j=1}^d |e_j\rangle\langle e_{2m-j}| \quad A_m = P_m - (1-t)|e_m\rangle\langle e_m|$$

$P_m = P_m^*$ is perm matrix for ν swaps $(m+k, m-k) \Rightarrow P_m^2 = I_d$

$$A_m A_{m+\ell} = S^{2\ell} - (1-t)(|e_{m-\ell}\rangle\langle e_{m+\ell}| + |e_m\rangle\langle e_{m+2\ell}|) + \delta_{\ell,0}(1-t)^2 |e_m\rangle\langle e_m|$$

linear independence of $\{A_m A_n\}$ reduces to lin indep of vectors

$|e_{m-\ell}\rangle\langle e_{m+\ell}| + |e_m\rangle\langle e_{m+2\ell}|$ with ℓ fixed – reduce to prob in \mathbf{C}_d

Find $\Phi(\rho) = \sum_m A_m \rho A_m^*$ is extreme in both CPT and UCP maps.

Fixed point $|e_m\rangle\langle e_m| \mapsto t|e_m\rangle\langle e_m|$ plays central role

Does not generalize to even $d = 2\nu$ in natural way

$d = 2\nu + 1$ odd (cont).

$$S_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & t & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$S_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Existence of “fixed point” on skew diagonal seems key

Return to main example — form of A_m

$$A_1 = \frac{1}{d-1} \begin{pmatrix} t(d-1) & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & d-3 & 2 & \dots & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & d-3 & 2 & \dots & 2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 2 & \dots & & 2 & d-3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A_d = \frac{1}{d-1} \begin{pmatrix} d-3 & 2 & \dots & & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & d-3 & 2 & \dots & 2 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & & & \vdots \\ 2 & \dots & & 2 & d-3 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & & \dots & 0 & t(d-1) \end{pmatrix}$$

Sketch proof for main example

$$V = 2|\mathbb{1}_{d-1}\rangle\langle\mathbb{1}_{d-1}| - I_{d-1}, \quad A_m = S^{-m} \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & V \end{pmatrix} S^m$$

Sketch proof for main example

$$V = 2|\mathbb{1}_{d-1}\rangle\langle\mathbb{1}_{d-1}| - I_{d-1}, \quad A_m = S^{-m} \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & V \end{pmatrix} S^m$$

$$A_1 A_d = \frac{1}{(d-1)^2} \begin{pmatrix} \tau & b & \dots & b & \dots & b & 0 \\ a & & & & & & b \\ \vdots & & & & & & \vdots \\ a & & & \tilde{V}_{d-2}^2 & & & b \\ \vdots & & & & & & \vdots \\ a & & & & & & b \\ u & a & \dots & a & \dots & & \tau \end{pmatrix}$$

$$a = 2(d-3), \quad b = 2t(d-1), \quad \tau = -t(d-1)(d-3)$$

$$u = 4(d-2), \quad \tilde{V}_{d-2}^2 = -4c|\mathbb{1}_{d-2}\rangle\langle\mathbb{1}_{d-2}| + bI_{d-2}$$

First reformulation

$$\sum_m \sum_n A_m A_n = p_d(t) |\mathbb{1}_d\rangle \langle \mathbb{1}_d| + q_d(t) I_d$$

$$q(t) \neq 0 \text{ if } d > 3 \quad p(t) \neq 0 \text{ if } t \neq \frac{-1}{d-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \{A_m A_n\} \text{ lin dependent for } t = \frac{-1}{d-1}$$

First reformulation

$$\sum_m \sum_n A_m A_n = p_d(t) |\mathbb{1}_d\rangle \langle \mathbb{1}_d| + q_d(t) I_d$$

$$q(t) \neq 0 \text{ if } d > 3 \quad p(t) \neq 0 \text{ if } t \neq \frac{-1}{d-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \{A_m A_n\} \text{ lin dependent for } t = \frac{-1}{d-1}$$

For purpose of linear independent for $d > 3$, $t \neq \frac{-1}{d-1}$ can replace

$$\begin{aligned} A_m A_n &\mapsto X_{mn} \equiv (d-1)^2 A_m A_n + 4d |\mathbb{1}_d\rangle \langle \mathbb{1}_d| \\ &= \hat{u} |e_m\rangle \langle e_n| + \hat{a} \sum_{j \neq m, n} (|e_j\rangle \langle e_m| + |e_n\rangle \langle e_j|) + \hat{b} \sum_{j \neq m, n} (|e_m\rangle \langle e_j| + |e_j\rangle \langle e_n|) \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{a} = 2(d-1)$, $\hat{u} = 4(d-1)$, $\hat{b} = 2t(d-1) + 4$.

Results hold for $d = 3$ but proofs need special handling.

$$X_{mn} = \begin{pmatrix} & & & m & n & & & & \\ & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \hat{a} & \cdot & \hat{b} & \cdot & \cdot \\ & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \vdots & \cdot & \vdots & \cdot & \cdot \\ & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \hat{a} & \cdot & \hat{b} & \cdot & \cdot \\ m & \hat{b} & \dots & \hat{b} & 0 & \hat{b} & 0 & \hat{b} & \dots \\ & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \hat{a} & \cdot & \hat{b} & \cdot & \cdot \\ & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \vdots & \cdot & \vdots & \cdot & \cdot \\ & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \hat{a} & \cdot & \hat{b} & \cdot & \cdot \\ n & \hat{a} & \dots & \hat{a} & \hat{u} & \hat{a} & 0 & \hat{a} & \dots \\ & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \hat{a} & \cdot & \hat{b} & \cdot & \cdot \\ & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \vdots & \cdot & \vdots & \cdot & \cdot \end{pmatrix}$$

Permutational symmetry

Observe $\{A_m A_n\}$ linearly dep $\Leftrightarrow \exists$ a matrix C such that

$$0 = \hat{u}c_{jk} + \sum_m \left[\hat{a}(c_{mj} + c_{km}) + \hat{b}(c_{jm} + c_{mk}) \right]$$

Moreover, such C form a subspace \mathcal{N} of M_d with properties

- $C \in \mathcal{N} \Rightarrow C^* \in \mathcal{N}$
- $C \in \mathcal{N} \Rightarrow P^* C P \in \mathcal{N} \quad \forall$ permutation matrices P

Decompose into subspaces \mathcal{N}_ν assoc with irreducible rep of S_d

Permutational symmetry

Observe $\{A_m A_n\}$ linearly dep $\Leftrightarrow \exists$ a matrix C such that

$$0 = \hat{u}c_{jk} + \sum_m \left[\hat{a}(c_{mj} + c_{km}) + \hat{b}(c_{jm} + c_{mk}) \right]$$

Moreover, such C form a subspace \mathcal{N} of M_d with properties

- $C \in \mathcal{N} \Rightarrow C^* \in \mathcal{N}$
- $C \in \mathcal{N} \Rightarrow P^* C P \in \mathcal{N} \quad \forall$ permutation matrices P

Decompose into subspaces \mathcal{N}_ν assoc with irreducible rep of S_d

Now define $X_{mn}^\pm = X_{mn} \pm X_{mn}^*$

Consider linear indep. of $X_{mn} + X_{mn}^*$ and $X_{mn} - X_{mn}^*$ separately

$$X_{mn}^{\pm}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} & & & & m & & n & & \\ & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \pm 1 & \cdot & 1 & \cdot & \cdot \\ & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \vdots & \cdot & \vdots & \cdot & \cdot \\ & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \pm 1 & \cdot & 1 & \cdot & \cdot \\ m & 1 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 1 & x & 1 & \dots \\ & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \pm 1 & \cdot & 1 & \cdot & \cdot \\ & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \vdots & \cdot & \vdots & \cdot & \cdot \\ & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \pm 1 & \cdot & 1 & \cdot & \cdot \\ n & \pm 1 & \dots & \pm 1 & \pm x & \pm 1 & 0 & \pm 1 & \dots \\ & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \pm 1 & \cdot & 1 & \cdot & \cdot \\ & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \vdots & \cdot & \vdots & \cdot & \cdot \end{pmatrix}$$

Can ignore factor of $(\hat{a} \pm \hat{b})$

Main interest $x = w_d^{\pm}(t)$

Reformulate as eigenvalue problem

$$X_{mn}^{\pm}(w_d^{\pm}) = \pm(\hat{a} \pm \hat{b}) \left[w_d^{\pm} (|e_m\rangle\langle e_n| \pm |e_n\rangle\langle e_m|) \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{j \neq m, n} (|e_j\rangle\langle e_m| + |e_n\rangle\langle e_j|) \pm \sum_{k \neq m, n} (|e_m\rangle\langle e_k| + |e_k\rangle\langle e_n|) \right]$$

$$w_d^+(t) = \frac{2d}{d+1+t(d-1)} \quad w_d^-(t) = \frac{2(d-2)}{(d-3)-t(d-1)}$$

Let $\Omega_d^{\pm}(x)$ be $\frac{1}{2}d(d-1) \times \frac{1}{2}d(d-1)$ matrix with rows given by elements of $X_{mn}^{\pm}(x)$ above diagonal in lexicographic order

Elements of $\Omega_d^{\pm}(x)$ are $\begin{cases} x & \text{on diagonal} \\ 0, \pm 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Reformulate as eigenvalue problem

$$X_{mn}^{\pm}(w_d^{\pm}) = \pm(\hat{a} \pm \hat{b}) \left[w_d^{\pm} (|e_m\rangle\langle e_n| \pm |e_n\rangle\langle e_m|) \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{j \neq m, n} (|e_j\rangle\langle e_m| + |e_n\rangle\langle e_j|) \pm \sum_{k \neq m, n} (|e_m\rangle\langle e_k| + |e_k\rangle\langle e_n|) \right]$$

$$w_d^+(t) = \frac{2d}{d+1+t(d-1)} \quad w_d^-(t) = \frac{2(d-2)}{(d-3)-t(d-1)}$$

Let $\Omega_d^{\pm}(x)$ be $\frac{1}{2}d(d-1) \times \frac{1}{2}d(d-1)$ matrix with rows given by elements of $X_{mn}^{\pm}(x)$ above diagonal in lexicographic order

Elements of $\Omega_d^{\pm}(x)$ are $\begin{cases} x & \text{on diagonal} \\ 0, \pm 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Thm: $\{X_{mn}^{\pm}\}_{m < n}$ lin depend $\Leftrightarrow -w_d^{\pm}(t)$ an eigenvalue of $\Omega(0)$.

Find eigenvals: Mathematica for $d = 3, 4, 5, 6$ then educated guess

Proof: Exhibit lin indep eigenvecs of “at least” desired multiplicity

Eigenvalues

Thm: $\{X_{mn}^\pm\}_{m < n}$ lin indep $\Leftrightarrow -w_d^\pm(t)$ not eigenvalue of $\Omega(0)$.

Thm: The eigenvalues of $\Omega_d^-(0)$ are

- $d - 2$ with multiplicity $d - 1$

1						
k						

$$t = 1$$

- -2 with multt $\frac{1}{2}(d - 2)(d - 1)$

1						
j						
k						

$$t = \frac{-1}{d-1}$$

Eigenvalues

Thm: $\{X_{mn}^\pm\}_{m < n}$ lin indep $\Leftrightarrow -w_d^\pm(t)$ not eigenvalue of $\Omega(0)$.

Thm: The eigenvalues of $\Omega_d^-(0)$ are

• $d - 2$ with multiplicity $d - 1$

1					
k					

 $t = 1$

• -2 with multt $\frac{1}{2}(d - 2)(d - 1)$

1					
j					
k					

 $t = \frac{-1}{d-1}$

Thm: The eigenvalues of $\Omega_d^+(0)$ are

• $2(d - 2)$ non-degenerate

1	2				d
---	---	--	--	--	---

• $d - 4$ with multiplicity $d - 1$

1					
k					

• -2 with multiplicity $\binom{d-1}{2} - 1 = \frac{1}{2}d(d - 3)$ $t = \frac{-1}{d-1}$

Symmetric eigenvectors for $t = \frac{-1}{d-1}$

- $x = 2 = w_d^+ \left(\frac{-1}{d-1} \right)$ eigenvecs $C_{jk,mn} = B_{jk,mn} + B_{jk,mn}^*$

$$B_{jk,mn} \equiv |e_m\rangle\langle e_j| - |e_m\rangle\langle e_k| - |e_n\rangle\langle e_j| + |e_n\rangle\langle e_k|$$

$$\{B_{2k,1n} : 3 \leq n < k \leq d\} \cup \{B_{2k,13} : k = 4, 5 \dots d\}$$

$$\text{lin indep} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2}d(d-3) \text{ lin indep eigenvecs } C_{jk,mn}$$

Young tableaux

1	2	...	
n	k		

 and

1	3	...	
2	k		

$$C_{34,12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad C_{24,13} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A_m A_j + A_j A_m - A_m A_k - A_k A_m - A_n A_j - A_j A_n + A_n A_k + A_k A_n = 0$$

lin dep $A_m A_n$ not directly trans. to X_{mn}^+ for $t = \frac{-1}{d-1}$ but still OK